

HEALTH FREEDOM PUBLIC HEALTH and vaccination

A few years ago dramatic 'public health' campaigns introduced wide-ranging changes in society – under the pretext of 'defending the common good'.

This leaflet briefly examines these campaigns and the ideas behind them.

Covid-19 is over so why are you bringing this up?

→ *Because similar public health interventions will quite likely be used in the years to come.*

Public health has been weaponized

The most vocal proponents of the recent public health measures are not really concerned with health; they are concerned with control. They are not motivated by genuine concern for others, but by dogmatic and grandiose ideas about how society should be organised.

Motivations aside, the actual *outcomes* of these measures (economic upheaval, social isolation, iatrogenic harms, etc.) run directly counter to health and well-being. But that topic would require a separate leaflet.

W: <https://plainspeak.ie>

E: info@plainspeak.ie

CAVEAT: 'Public health' is obviously a very broad subject, and - historically - has contributed to important initiatives such as improved sanitation and hygiene, proper sewerage systems, *etc.* The problem is that public health has been weaponized by political ideologues and the relevant science has been contaminated by commercial and political interests.

Vaccination and coercion

Many public figures – in the W.H.O., the EU, and in academia – have touted the prospect of mandatory vaccinations - for Covid 19 or other 'public health emergency of international concern'.



No one should ever be coerced or pressured in to taking vaccines that they don't want.

Mandatory Covid vaccinations in the EU: In March 2022, Austria actually attempted to impose mandatory vaccination. Greece introduced similar mandates for people over 60 years of age.

Ursula von der Leyen, EU Chief, has also expressed tentative support for mandatory vaccination.

Public health and the common good

During the lockdown we were often reminded that we 'are all in this together' - implying that the public health measures were largely about the 'common good'. But we need to step back and look at the economic forces shaping our country.

Our political figures, media pundits, thought leaders, members of advisory bodies, and the wider medical establishment are either beholden to - or ideologically blinded by - the pharmaceutical giants whose output constitutes a significant portion of our nation's GDP.


No meaningful discussion about public health and its relation to the 'common good' can take place until this fact is acknowledged.

Compulsory vaccination in history

Instances of compulsory vaccination can be traced back to the 1800s at least. Debates about and protests against public vaccination campaigns in the US and the UK throughout the 1800s and in to the early 1900s are well-documented.

In some cases the mandates were introduced only for certain demographics - e.g. children attending public schools or the military. In other cases they were mandated for everyone regardless of age, employment or health.

The struggles against mandatory vaccination laws in 19th-century England - e.g. Leicester in the 1880s - were fierce, and deserve to be more widely-known.



People protested against compulsory vaccinations in Canada in the early 1900s also

Altruism and responsibility

Proponents of the recent public health interventions sometimes portray those who refused vaccination as selfish and irresponsible, but this is hypocrisy.

Those who defend these interventions are not altruistic, but motivated by commerce, ideology and a desire to keep face. And few things could be more irresponsible than unleashing experimental vaccines on the population at large, while ignoring the longer-term implications these interventions could have for society.

More could be said on this point, as implying that the unvaccinated are 'selfish' is a key method of disarming them in debate. But protecting oneself or one's children from unwanted and potentially dangerous medical interventions - and maintaining a healthy skepticism - is not 'selfish'; it's acting responsibly.

The abusive role of media

The national media have relentlessly promoted either lies or half-truths regarding Covid-19 - such as:

- *"The PCR tests are accurate and reliable."*
- *"X number of people were hospitalised or died today due to Covid."* (intentional misrepresentation of death causes.)
- *"Healthy people can spread the virus via 'asymptomatic transmission'."*
- *"The Covid injections are safe and effective."*
- *"Natural immunity does not exist or is not adequate."*
- *"Hospitals are full of the unvaccinated."*

There is not enough space in this leaflet to dissect this propaganda. You can follow some of the links below for more information. Dr. Mike Yeadon, for example, touches on some of the important points in this article: <https://doctors4covidethics.org/the-covid-lies/> .

Summary

We need to recognise that public health science has been weaponized and—in its present form—is a threat to freedom and (ironically) to health. Then we need to speak out against and challenge it. Once we have done that we can pursue public health policies which are *genuinely* about health and well-being, rather than eugenics and profiteering.

More information

- Independent reporting and analysis:
 - Off-Guardian: <https://off-guardian.org/>
 - PANDA Uncut: <https://pandauncut.substack.com/>
 - Plain Speak: <https://plainspeak.ie/>
- Book: *Turtles All the Way Down*, by Zoey O'Toole
- Book: *The Medical Voodoo*, by Annie Riley Hale